

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF SHAWNEE COUNTY, KANSAS

IN THE MATTER OF:

\_\_\_\_\_, Respondent Case No. \_\_\_\_\_  
DOB \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_ male \_\_\_\_ female

**PERMANENCY HEARING ORDER FOR JUVENILE OFFENDER**

Pursuant to K.S.A. 38-1664

NOW on this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_, the above-captioned matter comes for a permanency hearing  to establish a permanency plan]  for review of the plan for permanency, progress being made towards the goals of the plan and the viability of those goals].

THE COURT FINDS jurisdiction and venue are proper. All required notices have been given. All interested parties and any foster parents, pre-adoptive parents and relatives providing care have been properly notified.

The complainant appears by \_\_\_\_\_,  Assistant County/District Attorney. The juvenile appears  in person and]  not in person, but] by her/his attorney, \_\_\_\_\_. The mother appears  not]  in person *Pro se*]  in person, and through her attorney, \_\_\_\_\_]  not in person, but by and through her attorney, \_\_\_\_\_. The  putative father appears  not]  in person *Pro se*]  in person, and through his attorney, \_\_\_\_\_]  not in person, but by and through his attorney, \_\_\_\_\_. Other interested parties appearing are: \_\_\_\_\_.

JJA is present through: \_\_\_\_\_.

The Court having reviewed the file, received the evidence, and heard statements of counsel FINDS THAT:

1.  a. The permanency plan presented to the Court  should]  should not] be approved and adopted by the Court.  
 b. The progress to achieve the goals of the former permanency plan  is]  is not] adequate.
2.  a. Reasonable efforts  have]  have not] been made to accomplish the  former]  present] permanency goal of  reintegration]  adoption]  permanent guardianship]  kinship placement]  other planned permanent living arrangements of] specifically (describe efforts):  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_.
3.  b. Reasonable efforts to reunify the child and the family are not required due to the following circumstances when reintegration may not be a viable alternative as set out in K.S.A. 38-1664(a)(1)(A)(B)(C):  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_.
3. Continued out of home placement  is]  is not] necessary for the safety of the  juvenile] and/or the  community] because \_\_\_\_\_.
4. The juvenile's needs  are]  are not] being adequately met.

# PERMANENCY HEARING ORDER – JO

Page 2 of 3

5. The juvenile is in out of state placement, and such placement [ **continues**] [ **does not continue**] to be appropriate and in the best interests of the juvenile.
6.  a. Reintegration is no longer a viable alternative: (*check status*)  
Status:  1  2  3
  - (1) The juvenile is in a stable placement with a relative.
  - (2) Either adoption or permanent guardianship might be in the best interests of the juvenile and the [ **county**] [ **district**] attorney or the attorney's designee shall file a petition for Child in Need of Care pleading to terminate parental rights or a pleading to establish a permanent guardianship within 30 days.
  - (3) Legal guardianship and adoption have been considered and the state has documented a compelling reason in support of another planned permanent living arrangement.

**or**

- b. Reintegration continues to be a viable alternative: (*check status*)  
Status:  1  2  3  4  5
  - (1) Out of home placement is recommended and the Commissioner shall not return the juvenile to the home from which removed without first notifying the court of the plan.
  - (2) The juvenile may return home [ **immediately**] [ **with a target date of \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_.**] [ **if the following conditions are met:** \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_.]

- (3) Services set out in the case plan necessary for a safe return of the juvenile have not been made available to the parent with whom reintegration was planned.
- (4) Within 30 days a new plan for reintegration should be prepared and submitted to the Court with measurable goals, objectives, and time frames.
- (5) That the previous orders of this Court [ **shall continue in full force and effect**] [ **except as hereby modified**] [ **are hereby rescinded and the following orders are hereby issued**]:
  - a. (sentencing alternative pursuant to K.S.A. 38-1663)  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

(Choose either A or B)

- b. See Sentencing Order from this hearing.

- THE COURT FURTHER FINDS:

**PERMANENCY HEARING ORDER – JO**

**Page 3 of 3**



THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS:

---

---

---

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this matter is set for \_\_\_\_\_ hearing  
on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_, at \_\_\_\_\_: \_\_\_\_\_ A.M./P.M.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT THIS \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_.

---

---

---

Judge of the District Court