

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF SHAWNEE COUNTY, KANSAS

IN THE INTEREST OF:

Name _____

Case No. _____

DOB ____ / ____ / ____ A ____ male ____ female

Name _____

Case No. _____

DOB ____ / ____ / ____ A ____ male ____ female

Name _____

Case No. _____

DOB ____ / ____ / ____ A ____ male ____ female

PERMANENCY HEARING ORDER FOR CHILD IN NEED OF CARE

Pursuant to K.S.A. 38-1565

(Orders pertaining to more than one child must include findings specific to each child listed in the caption.)

NOW on this _____ day of _____, _____, the above-captioned matters come on for a permanency hearing ☐ **to establish a permanency plan** ☐ **for review of the plan for permanency, progress being made towards the goals of the plan and the viability of those goals**.

THE COURT FINDS jurisdiction and venue are proper. All required notices have been given. All interested parties and any foster parents, pre-adoptive parents and relatives providing care have been properly notified.

The petitioner appears by _____, ☐ **Assistant** County/District Attorney or ☐ **SRS** Attorney. The child(ren) appear ☐ **in person and** ☐ **not in person, but** by the child(ren)'s guardian ad litem, _____. The mother appears ☐ **not** ☐ **in person Pro se** ☐ **in person, and through her attorney, _____** ☐ **not in person, but by and through her attorney, _____**. The ☐ **putative** father appears ☐ **not** ☐ **in person Pro se** ☐ **in person, and through his attorney, _____** ☐ **not in person, but by and through his attorney, _____**.

Other interested parties appearing are: _____

Others in attendance are: _____

SRS is present through: _____

The Court, having reviewed the file, received the evidence, and heard statements of counsel, FINDS THAT:

1. ☐ a. The proposed permanency plan presented to the Court ☐ **should** ☐ **should not**

PERMANENCY HEARING ORDER – CINC

Page 2 of 3

- be approved and adopted by the court as the plan for permanency in these matters.
- ☐ b. The progress to achieve the goals of the former permanency plan ☐ **is** ☐ **is not** adequate.
2. ☐ a. Reasonable efforts ☐ **have** ☐ **have not** been made to accomplish the ☐ **former** ☐ **present** permanency goal of ☐ **reintegration** ☐ **adoption** ☐ **permanent guardianship** ☐ **kinship placement** ☐ **other planned permanent living arrangement of (describe arrangement)** specifically (describe efforts): _____

_____.
- ☐ b. Reasonable efforts to reunify the child(ren) and the family are not required due to the following circumstances when reintegration may not be a viable alternative as set out in K.S.A. 38-1563(h): _____

_____.
- ☐ c. Reasonable efforts set out in the case plan necessary for a safe return of the child(ren) have not been made available to the parent with whom reintegration was planned, specifically: _____

_____.
3. Continued out of home placement ☐ **is** ☐ **is not** necessary for the safety of:
NAME: _____
NAME: _____
NAME: _____
because **(complete only if “is necessary” is checked)** _____

_____.
4. The child(ren)’s needs ☐ **are** ☐ **are not** being adequately met.
5. The child(ren) are in out of state placement, and such placement ☐ **continues** ☐ **does not continue** to be appropriate and in the best interests of the child(ren).
6. ☐ a. Reintegration is no longer a viable alternative for:
(Check appropriate status from list below:)
NAME: _____ Status: ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3
NAME: _____ Status: ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3
NAME: _____ Status: ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3
(1) The child(ren) are in a stable placement with a relative.
(2) Either adoption or permanent guardianship might be in the best interests of the child(ren) and the ☐ **county** ☐ **district** attorney or attorney’s designee shall file a pleading to terminate parental rights or a pleading to establish a permanent guardianship within 30 days.
(3) Legal guardianship and adoption have been considered and the state has documented a compelling reason in support of another planned permanent living arrangement.

PERMANENCY HEARING ORDER – CINC

Page 3 of 3

or

- ☐ b. Reintegration continues to be a viable alternative for:

(Check appropriate status from list below:)

NAME: _____ Status: ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4

NAME: _____ Status: ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4

NAME: _____ Status: ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4

- (1) The child(ren) should not be reintegrated until further order of the court.
- (2) The child(ren) may return home [☐ **immediately**] [☐ **with a target date of _____ day of _____, _____,**] [☐ **if the following conditions are met**]: _____

- (3) Services set out in the case plan necessary for a safe return of the child(ren) have not been made available to the parent with whom reintegration was planned.

- (4) Within 30 days, a new plan for reintegration should be prepared and submitted to the Court with measurable goals, objectives and time frames

7. The previous orders of this Court [☐ **shall continue in full force and effect**] [☐ **except as hereby modified**] [☐ **are hereby rescinded and the following orders are hereby issued pursuant to K.S.A. 38-1563**]:

- ☐ See Dispositional Order from this hearing.

- ☐ THE COURT FURTHER FINDS:

- ☐ THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS:_____

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this matter should be set for _____ hearing on the _____ day of _____, _____, at _____: _____ A.M./P.M.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT THIS _____ day of _____, _____.

Judge of the District Court